

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,685	04/22/2005	Einar Moen	Q-84077	4835	
23373 7590 04/02/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER		
			SINGH, SATYENDRA K		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	ON DC 20037	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		1657		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/511,685	MOEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Satyendra K. Singh	1657		

	Satyendra K. Singh	. 1657	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 21 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma	ling date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR ension and the corresponding amouthortened statutory period for reply of than three months after the mailing	1.136(a) and the appropria int of the fee. The appropr riginally set in the final Off	ate extension fee iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	" " OF OFD 44 OF		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	to avoid dismissal of th	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see Nw);	IOTE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-14 and 25-34</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>NONE</u> .		will be entered and an o	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims afte	entry is below or attac	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the applicatio	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is noted that the instant claims are directed to a product- a microorganism growth substrate. Applicant's arguments regarding the "suitability" of the prior art composition (comprising a bacterial biomass derived from Methanotrophic bacteria) as "microorganism growth substrate' (see remarks, pages 6-7), are not found to be persuasive because the heat-inactivated, bacterial biomass product (in light of the disclosures from Norferm, DA and Larsen & Joergensen) as taught by Bothe et al is inherently suitable for growth of microorganisms, and the process step of sterilization is deemed routine in the art (as evidenced by the use of defined culture medium by Larsen & Joergensen, and by the disclosures provided for the preparation of sterile nutrients and media, i.e. growth substrates, by Atlas & Parks for cultivating mathanotrophic and other bacteria) of culturing microorganisms and/or fermentation, as explicitly disclosed in the cited prior art references.

SANDRA E. SAUCIER PRIMARY EXAMINER